UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,152	01/20/2004	Edward E. Orner	POLY32	2560
	7590 04/06/201 SANDERS LLP	EXAMINER		
5200 BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
SUITE 5200	600 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. SUITE 5200 ATLANTA, GA 30308-2216		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jim.schutz@troutmansanders.com patents@troutmansanders.com ellen.walters@troutmansanders.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/761,152	ORNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KIMNHUNG NGUYEN	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 December</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This  3)    Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-17,19 and 75-77 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-17,19 and 75-77 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	te			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/761,152 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application has been examined. The claims 1-2, 4-17, 19 and 75-77 are pending. This application results are as following.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jakobs et al. (US 5, 300, 943) in view of Ditzik (US 6,064, 373).

As to claim 1, Jakobs et al. disclose in fig. 1, a support frame for an interactive display, the interactive display vertically adjustable to a desired height located between a bottom height and a top height (see col. 8, lines 22-35), the frame comprising:

- a base element (10);
- a positioning element (3, or 4) for moving the interactive display between various heights; and at least one support extending vertically from the base element (see work surface having vertical frame);

the positioning element (3 or 4) housed within the at least one support (see work surface having vertical frame and horizontal frame); the positioning element configured to receive the interactive display. However, Jakobs do not disclose a position locking element for securing the interactive display at the desired height; and wherein the positioning element counterbalances the weight of the interactive display by applying an upward force to counteract a downward force of

Art Unit: 2629

the interactive display, thereby allowing for the continuous level of vertical adjustment of the interactive display with an upward repositioning force of less than about 25 pounds.

It would have been obvious to have the positioning element counterbalances the weight of the interactive display by applying an upward force to counteract a downward force of the interactive display as claimed by the invention because Jakobs et al. disclose the adjustment can be made to the angle and the vertical height of the work surface. A wide range of angular adjustments allows one to choose the most comfortable and efficient work surface angle (see col. 7, lines 29-39), and thereby allowing for the continuous level of vertical adjustment of the interactive display with an upward repositioning force of less than about 25 pounds, see col. 8, lines 13-16).

Ditzik discloses in fig. 6B, an adjustable flat panel screen comprising disclose a position locking element (actuator means 8 and unlocking, locking 4) for securing the interactive display at the desired height (see actuator assist means 8 attached to the hinge pin, see col. 8, lines 8-22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a position locking element (8) for securing the interactive display at the desired height of Ditzik into the a support frame of Jakobs et al. for producing the claimed invention because this would provide to the user can easily adjust the position of the display panel by hand, the actuator means may include a licking and unlocking means for temporarily holding the display assembly in the desired position (see col. 8, lines 39-44).

As to claim 2, Jakobs et al. do not disclose the level of upward repositioning of the frame has a force ranges from about 1.0 ounce to about 3 pounds.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,152

Art Unit: 2629

It would have been obvious to Jakobs's system to have the upward repositioning of the frame has a force of less than about 25 pounds claimed since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the weight of a system.

Page 4

See In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955) and

In re Reven, 156 USPQ 679 (CCPA 1968).

As to claim 4, Jakobs et al. disclose wherein the positioning element comprises a hydraulic, see col. 8, line 35.

As to claim 5, Jakobs et al. disclose wherein the hydraulic should be comprised a gas spring (see col. 8, line 35).

As to claim 6, Jakobs et al. disclose further comprising an interactive display mounted thereon (see fig. 1).

As to claim 7, Jakobs et al. disclose the support frame further comprising a plurality of vertical supports (2, 4).

As to claim 8, Jakobs et al. disclose further wherein at least one horizontal support connects at least two of the plurality of vertical supports (see fig. 1).

As to claim 9, Jakobs et al. disclose further the interactive display is selected from the group of a touch-sensitive display (see <u>a hand-held stylus is sensed by the overlay control device</u>, see abstract)

As to claim 10, Jakobs et al. disclose further comprising a power source (14) should be secured to the support frame (fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2629

4. Claims 75, 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jakobs et al. (US 5, 300, 943).

As to claim 75, Jakobs et al. disclose in fig. 1, a support frame for an interactive display, the interactive display vertically adjustable to a desired height located between a bottom height and a top height, the frame comprising: a base element (10); at least one support (3 or 4) in communication with the base element (10); and a positioning assembly (3 or 4) in communication with the support (3 or 4) and configured to receive the interactive display, wherein the interactive display is positionable at any height between the bottom height and the top height (see col. 8, lines 22-35). However, Jakobs et al. do not disclose the positioning assembly enabling positioning of the interactive display in a continuous range between the bottom height and the top height.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the positioning assembly enabling positioning of the interactive display in a continuous range between the bottom height and the top height as claimed invention because Jakobs et al. disclose the vertical adjustments are provided, ranged from seated-use desk height, to standing-use lectern height, see col. 7, lines 36-38).

As to claim 77 is rejected as the same as claim 75.

5. Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jakobs et al. (US 5, 300, 943) and Ditzik (US 6,064, 373) and in view of Juenger (US 2003/0206164).

Jakobs et al. and Ditzik do not disclose further comprising an internal power source for powering the interactive display without physical connection to an external power source.

Art Unit: 2629

Juenger discloses in fig. 1 a display system comprising an internal power source (22) for powering the interactive display without physical connection to an external power source (see [0020]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the internal power source as taught by Juenger into the interactive display system of Jakobs et al. and Ditzik for producing the claimed invention because this would provide power for limited duration and is re-charged by power received from external power adapter (see [0020]).

6. Claims 11-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jakobs et al. (US 5, 300, 943) in view of Ditzik (US 6,064, 373) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Omura et al. (US 2003/0001825).

As to claims 11-17, Jakobs et al. and Ditzik do not specifically disclose the power source is rechargable, or a battery; or a power cord for recharging includes an inherent power level indicator.

Omura et al. disclose the power source is rechargable, or a battery [0248]; or a power cord for recharging includes an inherent power level indicator [0248].

From claims 11-17, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the power source is rechargeable, or wherein the power source comprises a battery as taught by Omura et al. into the support frame of Jakobs et al. and Ditzik for producing the claimed invention because this would provide the equipment accommodating section is a power tap for supplying to the display board system (see 0248).

As to claim 19, Jakobs et al. and Ditzik do not specifically disclose the support frame comprising a plurality of mobile element mounted on the base element.

Omura et al. disclose in figs. 29-30, the support frame comprising a plurality of mobile element (616) mounted on the base element.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the support frame comprising a plurality of mobile element mounted on the base element as taught by Omura et al. into the support frame of Jakobs et al. for producing the claimed invention because this would provide to indicate caster for moving the display board system with the entire frame unit [0248].

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-17, 19 and 75-77 filed 8/24/09 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Correspondence**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIMNHUNG NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,152 Page 8

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kimnhung Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629